Joint GTFG / GHDG meeting Berlin, 13 July 2006

The features of successful, on-system Virtual Trading Points

Doug Wood EFET Gas Committee doug.wood@bp.com



#### **European Federation of Energy Traders**



# The features of successful, on-system Virtual Trading Points



- Entry-exit systems facilitate local, notional trading hubs
- Exist within a single transport system, located between entry and exit

#### Introduction:

- Facilitates market entry for non-integrated players
- Can exist as a single node or part of a multi-node model
- Complementary to regional hubs located at interfaces to multiple pipelines

## **Hub features**



- Establishes a point at which a market can function
- Enables pooling of liquidity at that point
- Reduces transaction costs
- Useful for integrated and non-vertically integrated market players
- Single system means one regulatory authority, one tax regime
- Location for balancing market allows TSO to compare actions in different locations in price order, and select most efficient
- Also allows bids out of price order
- Location for gas release programmes contract can be designed to reflect general portfolio, not linked to specific supply deal

## Conditions for transportation access terms



- Hub access terms can be built into transportation contracts
- Terms should allow title transfer (or imbalance transfer)
- Allocations as nominations
  - Except for mismatched trades
- Location for imbalance cashout & imbalance trading
  - May be used for ex post trading of imbalances
- Clearly defined imbalance charges (for referencing in trading contracts)
- Credit and governance can be treated as part of transportation terms

### **Multi-node model**



- Multi-nodes possible under transitional arrangements to minimise the number of hubs.
- Ability to centralise imbalances i.e. trade imbalances across nodes will help gather liquidity at a smaller number of points.
- Unless separate balancing markets are to be established, imbalance transfer should be possible at predetermined prices
- Nodes should be reduced over time, e.g. by expanding inter-node capacity, and allowing balance transfer.
- Multi-nodes can co-exist if trading is concentrated as specific points (c.f. PJM)
- Single regional node possible in theory, but no practical experience

# **Further thoughts**



- Access to transportation into/out of hub critical
- Simplified access terms for pure traders, exchange operators
- Costs can be rolled into transportation charges for basic service;
  leaving fees for exchanges with value-add services
- Standard trading terms should be established by traders, but will depend on quality of the transportation contract.

# Thanks for your attention





#### **European Federation of Energy Traders**

Amstelveenseweg 998 1081 JS Amsterdam

Tel: +31 (0)20 5207970

Email: secretariat@efet.org

www.efet.org